

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/668,475 09/22/2003			Roland E. Dolle	VPISW006 DIV	2933		
1473	7590	03/11/2004		EXAMINER			
FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS				MCKENZIE, THOMAS C			
50TH FLOOF				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	, NY 10	020-1105	1624				

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			10/668,475		DOLLE ET AL.	,
			Examiner		Art Unit	
		1	Γhomas McKenzie, Ph.I	D.	1624	
The Ma	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENI THE MAILING - Extensions of tirr after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this come eply specified above, the maximum so within the set or extended period for repled by the Office later than three months orm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wi tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	a reply be time thirty (30) days IONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status						
2a) ☐ This act 3) ☐ Since th	sive to communication(s) file tion is FINAL . his application is in condition in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	ction is non-final. e except for formal m	. •		e merits is
Disposition of Cl	aims					
4a) Of th 5)) <u>1-4,9 and 14</u> is/are pendin ne above claim(s) is/a) is/are allowed.) <u>1-4,9 and 14</u> is/are rejecte) is/are objected to.) are subject to restrice	are withdrawn	from consideration.			
Application Pape	ers					
10) The drav Applican Replacer	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are t may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accept ection to the dra g the correction	wing(s) be held in abey is required if the drawing	vance. See ng(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
a)	edgment is made of a claim	documents h documents h of the priority onal Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in documents have bee PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	n No I in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)					•	
	person's Patent Drawing Review (F Hosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper N			·-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This action is in response to an application filed on 9/22/03. There are six 1. claims pending and six under consideration. Claims 1-4 are compound claims. Claim 9 is a composition claim. Claim 14 is a method of using claim. This is the first action on the merits. The application concerns some Pyridazinecarboxamide and Ester compounds, compositions, and uses thereof.

Title

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is 2. clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title suggested: replacing the word "Pyridazines" with Pyridazinecarboxamides and Esters".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 3. that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wermuth (J. Med. Chem.). There are two compounds which fit formula (I) with R_1 = chlorine, R^2 = ethoxy and NH₂, respectively, R_3 = R_6 = hydrogen, R_4 =

phenyl, and R_5 = ethyl and hydrogen respectively. The compounds are found in Table I on page 530 and are compounds 16 and 18. There are also pictured as intermediates in Scheme IV on page 531. The synthesis of the amide compound is taught in the last complete paragraph on page 535.

- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linz ('233). There is one compound which fits formula (I) with R_1 = chlorine, R^2 = OH, R_3 = R_5 = hydrogen, and R_4 = 4-cyanophenyl. The compound is found in the reference in lines 41-53, column 22 and is Example XIV.
- 5. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lesher ('194). There is one compound which fits formula (I) with R_1 = chlorine, $R^2 = NH_2$, $R_3 = R_5 = R_6$ = hydrogen, and R_4 = 4-pyridyl. The compound is found in lines 42-60, column 4 and is compound A-1.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinisch (Archiv der Pharmazie). There is one compound in this reference which fits formula (I) with R_1 = phenoxy, R^2 = NH_2 , and R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = R_6 = hydrogen. The compound is found in Schema 2, page 1223 and is compound **9a**. See also compound **9b**. Syntheses of these two species are found in the final two paragraphs on page 1225.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214

Application/Control Number: 10/668,475

Art Unit: 1624

USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,624,166. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims concern eight different R₁ groups including halogen. The formula in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,624,166 is restricted to R₁ = halogen alone. This is a species/genus situation. According to the MPEP §806.04(i) "Generic Claims Presented for First Time After Issue of Species. The Office no longer follows the practice of prohibiting the allowance of generic claims that are presented for the first time after the issuance of a copending application claiming plural species. Instead, the Office may reject the generic claims on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting. Applicant

may overcome such a rejection by filing a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Braithwaite*, 379 F.2d 594, 154 USPQ 29."

8. Claim 14 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,121,266. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because again formula in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,121,266 is restricted to R_1 = halogen alone. The rationalization is presented above.

Conclusion

- 9. Information regarding the status of an application should be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free). Please direct general inquiries to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.
- 10. Please direct any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner to Thomas C McKenzie, Ph. D. whose

telephone number is (571) 272-0670. The FAX number for amendments is (703) 872-9306. The PTO presently encourages all applicants to communicate by FAX. The Examiner is available from 8:30 to 5:30, Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of 1624 at (571)-272-0661.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624

TCMcK